





Application No:

GB0524692.1

Examiner:

David Pepper

Claims searched:

1-24

Date of search:

19 December 2005

Patents Act 1977: Search Report under Section 17

Documents considered to be relevant:

Category | Relevant to claims | Identity of document and passage or figure of particular relevance |

None

Categories:

X Document indicating lack of novelty or inventive step
Y Document indicating lack of inventive step if combined with one or more other documents of same category.

& Member of the same patent family

A Document indicating technological background and/or state of the art.

P Document published on or after the declared priority date but before the filing date of this invention.

E Patent document published on or after, but with priority date cartier than, the filing date of this application.

Field of Search:	400
Search of GB, EP, WO & US patent documents classified in the following areas of the UKCX:	
EIF	
Worldwide search of patent documents classified in the following areas of the IPC 97	
E21B	
The following online and other databases have been used in the preparation of this search report	
WPI, EPODOC	







Your ref:

P101168gb07/ASG

Examiner :

David Pepper

Application No:

GB0524692.1

Tel:

01633 814751

Applicant :

Enventure Global Technology

Date of report :

20 December 2005

Latest date for reply:

3 February 2006

Page 1/1

Patents Act 1977

Combined Search and Examination Report under Sections 17 & 18(3)

Allowance of the divisional date

1. Your request that this application be treated as having been filed on 13 August 2002, which is the same date of filing as your earlier application number GB0406258.4, has been allowed.

Clarity and consistency

- 2. The original claims on pages 78-125 should be deleted.
- 3. The cross references to related applications on page 1 would appear to be redundant.
- 4. Inconsistencies between pages 2-15 and 59-72 and the claims should be removed.
- The last paragraph on page 72 obscures the true scope of the claims.

Non-metric units

6 B. L. L

6. The equivalent metric values should be given alongside the non-metric values mentioned on pages 34,42 and 53 of your specification.

The title of the invention

7. The title of your specification does not clearly indicate the nature of your invention. I suggest "Apparatus for radially expanding an expandable tubular member" would be a better title.

Publication

8. Publication is proceeding concurrently.